

# Habitable Layout Design driven by Psychophysical Criteria using Virtual Reality

Project Members: Juan Bustamante (PL), AbdulElah Almubarak, Nicholas Lim, John Masselli Faculty Mentors: Dr. Simon Hsiang & Dr. Churlzu Lim Senior Design II - Fall 2019

**Process Breakdown** 



## Project Description

The UNCC\_HABIT project consists of evaluating 10 conceptual layout designs. The designs were optimized using matlab and given to the team for evaluation. The goal was to produce a virtual reality environment that allowed users to access the various layout designs. The implementation of the 3D models into the VR environment was to be used to collect data of the human interaction with the layout designs and run a statistical analysis.

## **Conclusion**

The UNCC\_HABIT team could not find a significant regression model based on the data collected. The best model used Layers as the dependent variable and only explained 26.6% of variation around the mean. By looking at the residuals plots below, it shows that the data collected is not a good candidate for regression. However, other data analytical models could better show relationships between the different aspects of the project.



### For Future Research

If the project was going to continue, there are a few recommendations to consider:

- Define more variables for data collection such as, number of entrance/exit holes, etc.
- Explore variable transformations within the variables (i.e. log).
- Use other methods than regression to reveal relationships such as, factorial design.



The Grasshopper script uses the **vertices of each module** to generate an unbaked **Rhino model**. The Rhino model is then baked and edited.



 script
 After editing with holes

 each
 for entry and exit from

 e an
 each room, the model

 odel.
 is viewed in VR. All 10

 then
 models are converted and edited.



The models are then **imported into the Unity VR environment.** Landing spots and objects are added to the environment to ensure proper accessibility and for data collection purposes.



The data collected from 3D printing and the Unity VR environment was broken down by model and then analyzed.

# **Data Collection & Analysis**

FlashForge Creator Pro 3D Printer

3 variables were used to collect data from the 3D printer, which were print time, amount of waste, and number of layers in the model. Estimated print time and amount of material used was given by loading each model into the 3D printing software.

### iMotions Eye Tracker

In an attempt to determine model preferences, a slideshow with two models on each slide was played for the user while tracking their eye movements.

### Unity Virtual Reality Environment

The tasks that users had to complete were done in random order to decrease any possible learning effect. The situational awareness question was used to determine if the user paid attention to aspects of the model outside of their given task.

### <u>Analysis</u>

The eye tracking and VR data were separately paired with the 3D printing data in Excel. Multiple regressions were run in an attempt to show correlations between the different aspects of the project. The best models are shown below.

| Angressio               | Statistics    | _              |        |            |                   |  |   |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------|------------|-------------------|--|---|
| Multiple R              | 0.584991      | 429            |        |            |                   |  |   |
| R Square                | 0.342224      | 1332           |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Adjusted R Square       | 0.26633       | 1714           |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Standard Error          | 0.72366/      | 1721           |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Observations            |               | 30             |        |            |                   |  |   |
| ANOVA                   |               |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
|                         | dy'           | 55             |        | MS         | 1                 | Significance F                                   |   |
| Regression              |               | 3 7.08404      | 3574   | 2.3613478  | 1 4.50905127<br>8 | 7 0.01124883                                     |   |
| Residual                |               | 26 13.6159     | 5633   | 0.5236906  |                   |  |   |
| Total                   |               | 29             | 20.7   |            |                   |  |   |
|                         | Coefficients  | Standard E     | TOP    | t Stat     | P-value           | Lower 95%  | Upper 95%                                 |
| Intercept               | 0.730344      | 1578 0.45268   | 2325   | 1.6133710  | 6 0.1187371       | 4 -0.200157267                                   | 1.66084642                                |
| Time to Find Object (s) | 0.006345      | 925 0.00266    | 4581   | 2.5827280  | 0.0247826         | 2 0.000871978<br>2 -0.000497572<br>7 -0.37720094 | 0.011827875<br>0.022352937<br>0.755591258 |
| Time to Explore Every R | oom 0.010923  | 6.00555        | \$302  | 1.96660035 | 21 0.0600909      |  |   |
| Situational awareness   | 0.189155      | 159 0.27554    | 7549   | 0.6666152  | 0.498403          |  |   |
| Regression 5            | tatistics     |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Multiple R              | 0.489927757   |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| R Square                | 0.240029207   |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Adjusted R Square       | 0.176658307   |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Standard Error          | 753.131707    |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| Observations            | 4)            |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
| anova                   |               |                |        |            |                   |  |   |
|                         | el.           | \$5            |        | MS         | ř.                | Significance F                                   |   |
| Regression              | 3             | 6449284,741    | 3 21   | 19761.583  | 3.750080496       | 0.01845049                                       |   |
| Residual                | 35            | 20419465.25    | 5 56   | 7207.3681  |                   |  |   |
| Total                   | 39            | 26868756       |        |            |                   |  |   |
|                         | Coefficients  | Standard Error |        | r Stor     | P-volve           | Lower 95%  | Upper 95%                                 |
| Intercept               | 7305.509212   | 2051.611645    | 3.5    | 61058554   | 0.001061108       | 3145.047934                                      | 11466.7704                                |
| Waste                   | 48.10703468   | 34.9686581     | 1.3    | 75718637   | 0.177408354       | -22.81269106                                     | 119.026760                                |
| Print Time              | -175, 1920571 | 54,8834218     | 1 -2.2 | 11940586   | 0.002761515       | -257,7007957                                     | -65.0822104                               |
| I manher                | 11 001101111  | 222 6472422    |        | 1033 735 7 | A 8870000117      | 453 61108845                                     | 111 041000                                |



Using iMotions eye tracking devices, **heat maps of fixation points** were recorded for each model. Complete fixation point data was then extracted from the study. Users were tasked to find a specified object and explore every room of each model. Time taken

to complete both tasks

was recorded and a

awareness

asked

situational

afterwards.

question was